## Case 3:05-cr-00512-BZ Document 9 Filed 08/09/05 Page 1 of 2

Filed 08/09/2005

Document 8

Case 3:05-mj-70324-MRGD

Page 1 of 2

KEVIN V. RYAN (CSBN 118321) 1 United States Attorney 2 EUMI L. CHOI (WVBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division 3 MONICA FERNANDEZ (CSBN 168216) 4 Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 6 Telephone: (415) 436-7065 FAX: (415) 436-7234 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 (RU5-512 13 No. CR 3-05-70324-EDL 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 15 Plaintiff, THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 16 WENNY HOANG BUI, 17 Defendant. 18 19 This matter came on the calendar of the Honorable Bernard Zimmerman on August 5, 20 2005. At the parties' request, the Court continued the matter until August 10, 2005 for 21 arraignment on a misdemeanor information and change of plea. 22 The parties requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act from August 5 23 through 10, 2005 based upon the need for effective preparation of counsel. Specifically, the 24 government recently provided additional discovery to the defense and the government is in the 25 process of obtaining information from the defense necessary to resolve this case as a 26 misdemeanor. Therefore, the parties are requesting an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial 27 Act. The parties agree that the time from August 5-10, 2005 should be excluded in computing 28 ORDER EXCLUDING TIME No. CR 3-05-70324-EDL

## Case 3:05-cr-00512-BZ Document 9 Filed 08/09/05 Page 2 of 2

Case 3:05-mj-70324-MRGD Document 8 Filed 08/09/2005 Page 2 of 2

the time within which an information must be filed. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv).

Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time from August 5-10, 2005 is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Court finds that the failure to grant the requested exclusion would deny the defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested exclusion outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

HONORABLE BERNARD ZIMMERMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: August 9, 2005

Approved as to form:

/s/ Joseph Morehead JOSEPH MOREHEAD, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant

19 /s/ Monica Fernandez MONICA FERNANDEZ

20 Assistant United States Attorney

ORDER EXCLUDING TIME No. CR 3-05-70324-EDL